



#### San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board

January 20, 2015 File Nos. 43S0128 & 43S0120 (RWP)

TDY Industries, LLC ATTN: Mr. Edgard Bertaut 1000 Six PPG Place Pittsburgh, PA 15222-5479

edgard.bertaut@ATIMetals.com

Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc. ATTN: Mr. Rick Podlaski 81 Wyman Street Waltham, MA 02454-9046

rick.podlaski@thermofisher.com

**SUBJECT:** Approval of Final Work Plan Addendum for Vapor Intrusion Evaluation of

Residential Buildings in the Expanded Off-Property Study Area, for Former Teledyne/Spectra-Physics Site, Mountain View, Santa Clara County

Dear Mr. Bertaut and Mr. Podlaski:

This letter responds to your January 6, 2015, *Work Plan Addendum for Vapor Intrusion Evaluation of Residential Buildings in the Off-Property Study Area* (Followup Addendum). As explained below, I approve the Followup Addendum and require you to submit a report documenting implementation of the Followup Addendum.

#### **Background**

You were in the process of implementing the September 24, 2010, *Workplan to Evaluate Potential Vapor Intrusion in the Off-Property Study Area* (Workplan) when our December 20, 2013, letter (Letter) required you to submit a workplan to address new technical information that prompted us to require further evaluation of potential vapor intrusion. You then submitted and implemented the February 7, 2014, *Work Plan to Evaluate Potential Vapor Intrusion in the Off-Property Study Area* (Addendum) and implemented the Addendum that incorporated the Workplan by refrerence. However, the results of implementing the Addendum prompted stepping out the vapor intrusion evaluation to the area where trichloroethene (TCE) exceeds 5 micrograms per liter (ug/L) in groundwater and submitting the Followup Addendum.

### **Followup Addendum Summary**

The Followup Addendum incorporated the Workplan by reference and proposes the following additional activities to evaluate vapor intrusion:

- Sampling off-property residential indoor air in the previously established areas overlying the plume with groundwater-TCE levels higher than 5 micrograms per liter in cold weather.
- Requesting the removal of potential indoor sources from residences.

DR. TERRY F. YOUNG, CHAIR | BRUCE H. WOLFE, EXECUTIVE OFFICER

- Conducting two rounds of indoor air sampling; one each during colder and warmer weather months.
- Using passive sorbent samplers with a one- or two-week sampling period during the first
  phase of evaluation and following up with Method TO-15 Summa canisters with a 24-hour
  period if indoor air results indicate vapor intrusion is occurring.
- Comparing the indoor air testing results with outdoor air levels, USEPA's interim indoor air short-term response action levels, and long-term screening levels for trichloroethene.
- Using Method TO-15 Summa canisters with a 24-hour sampling period if cis-1,2-dichloroethene is detected at significant levels that indicate vinyl chloride may be present but not accurately measured with the passive sorbent sampler.
- Evaluating all analytical results to determine if sufficient lines of evidence have been collected to demonstrate that vapor intrusion is not a risk for residential occupants.
- Conducting a screening evaluation with the removal of secondary sources, resampling with the passive sorbent sampler using with a two-week sampling period, and analyzing the samples using Method TO-17 if indoor air concentrations exceed long-term or short-term levels and an indoor source is suspected.
- Installing a vapor intrusion mitigation system if the indoor air results indicate vapor intrusion is occurring and exceed the long-term screening levels or short-term response action levels.

## **Regional Water Board Response**

The Followup Addendum satisfies the Letter. I hereby approve it.

You are required to submit a report by **July 31, 2015**, documenting the results of the Followup Addendum. The report should include sampling results, an evaluation of those results, and recommendations for the next phase of vapor intrusion investigation, if necessary.

This requirement for a report is made pursuant to Water Code Section 13267, which allows the Regional Water Board to require technical or monitoring program reports from any person who has discharged, discharges, proposes to discharge, or is suspected of discharging waste that could affect water quality. The attachment provides additional information about Section 13267 requirements. Any extension in the above deadline must be confirmed in writing by Regional Water Board staff.

If you have any questions, please contact Roger Papler of my staff at (510) 622-2435 [e-mail <a href="mailto:rpapler@waterboards.ca.gov">rpapler@waterboards.ca.gov</a>].

Sincerely,

Bruce H. Wolfe Executive Officer

Attachment: 13267 Fact Sheet cc w/Attachment: Mailing List

#### MAILILNG LIST

**USEPA** 

ATTN: Ms. Melanie Morash

75 Hawthone Street

San Francisco, CA 94105

Santa Clara Valley Water District

ATTN: Mr. George Cook 5750 Almaden Expressway

San Jose, CA 95118

City of Mountain View

ATTN: Mr. Kevin Woodhouse

**Environmental Management Coordinator** 

500 Castro Street, P. O. Box 7540

Mountain View, CA 94041

Arcadis-US

ATTN: Mr. Donald T. Bradshaw

1717 West 6<sup>th</sup> Street; Suite 210

Austin, Texas 78703

Arcadis-US

ATTN: Ms. Erica Kalve

1900 Powell St., 12<sup>th</sup> Floor Emeryville, CA 94608

**CDM** 

ATTN: Mr. Vibhav Mankad 2300 Clayton Road, Suite 920

Concord, CA 94520

Streamborn

ATTN: Mr. Douglas W. Lovell

900 Santa Fe Avenue

P.O. Box 8330

Berkeley, CA 94707-8330

Union Pacific Railroad

ATTN: Mr. Jim Diel

49 Stevenson Street, 15<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94105

Peery-Arrillaga

ATTN: Ms. Jeanette Shirtzinger

2650 Mission College Boulevard, Suite 101

Santa Clara, CA 95054

morash.melanie@epa.gov

GCook@valleywater.org

kevin.woodhouse@mountainview.gov

don.bradshaw@arcadis-us.com

erica.kalve@arcadis-us.com

vmankad@craworld.com

doug@streamborn.com

jediel@up.com

jschirtzinger@peery-arrillaga.com





#### San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board

## Fact Sheet – Requirements for Submitting Technical Reports Under Section 13267 of the California Water Code

# What does it mean when the Regional Water Board requires a technical report?

Section 13267¹ of the California Water Code provides that "...the regional board may require that any person who has discharged, discharges, or who is suspected of having discharged or discharging, or who proposes to discharge waste...that could affect the quality of waters...shall furnish, under penalty of perjury, technical or monitoring program reports which the regional board requires."

## This requirement for a technical report seems to mean that I am guilty of something, or at least responsible for cleaning something up. What if that is not so?

The requirement for a technical report is a tool the Regional Water Board uses to investigate water quality issues or problems. The information provided can be used by the Regional Water Board to clarify whether a given party has responsibility.

# Are there limits to what the Regional Water Board can ask for?

Yes. The information required must relate to an actual or suspected or proposed discharge of waste (including discharges of waste where the initial discharge occurred many years ago), and the burden of compliance must bear a reasonable relationship to the need for the report and the benefits obtained. The Regional Water Board is required to explain the reasons for its requirement.

# What if I can provide the information, but not by the date specified?

A time extension may be given for good cause. Your request should be promptly submitted in writing, giving reasons.

## Are there penalties if I don't comply?

Depending on the situation, the Regional Water Board can impose a fine of up to \$5,000 per day, and a court can impose fines of up to \$25,000 per day as well as criminal penalties. A person who submits false information or fails to comply with a requirement to submit a technical report may be found guilty of a misdemeanor. For some reports, submission of false information may be a felony.

# Do I have to use a consultant or attorney to comply?

There is no legal requirement for this, but as a practical matter, in most cases the specialized nature of the information required makes use of a consultant and/or attorney advisable.

# What if I disagree with the 13267 requirements and the Regional Water Board staff will not change the requirement and/or date to comply?

You may ask that the Regional Water Board reconsider the requirement, and/or submit a petition to the State Water Resources Control Board. See California Water Code sections 13320 and 13321 for details. A request for reconsideration to the Regional Water Board does not affect the 30-day deadline within which to file a petition to the State Water Resources Control Board.

#### If I have more questions, whom do I ask?

Requirements for technical reports include the name, telephone number, and email address of the Regional Water Board staff contact.

Revised March 2014

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All code sections referenced herein can be found by going to http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes.xhtml.